Case: 1:08-gd-50030-DAP DOC # 10 Filed: UA/02/08 1 of 4 correct copy of the original on file in my office. A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY MAR 2 5 2008 FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Attest: Geri M. Smith, Clerk U.S. District Court Northern District of Ohio By: /S/Jennifer Smolinski

Deputy Clerk

Page ID DICTAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

MAR - 7 2008

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: GADOLINIUM CONTRAST DYES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)



#### CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-1)

On February 27, 2008, the Panel transferred 20 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See F.Supp.2d (J.P.M.L. 2008). With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of February 27, 2008, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

MAR 2 5 2008

CLERK'S OFFICE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION FOR THE PANEL:

lerk of the Panel

Page 1 of 3

# IN RE: GADOLINIUM CONTRAST DYES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1909

#### **SCHEDULE CTO-1 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

DIST. DIV. C.A. #	SE CAPTION
-------------------	------------

ALABAMA NORTHERN

ALN 2 07-2205 Joseph L. Smith v. General Electric Co., et al.

ALN 2 08-65 Yalonda Sanders, etc. v. General Electric Co., et al.

ARKANSAS EASTERN

ARE 4 07-1051 Ricky Barnes, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al. ARE 4 07-1115 Christine Black, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.

ARKANSAS WESTERN
ARW 2 07-2148
Bertin A. Nogues v. General Electric Co., et al.

CALIFORNIA CENTRAL

CAC 2 07-7844 Beverly Hubbard v. GE Healthcare, Inc., et al. CAC 2 08-518 Sarah Frascella v. General Electric Co., et al.

COLORADO

CO 1 07-2542 Debra Necas v. General Electric Co., et al.

CO 1 08-47 Joanne McBride, etc. v. General Electric Co., et al.

CONNECTICUT

CT 3 07-1753 Carmel T. Koerber, etc. v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et. al.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DC 1 08-122 Lacey Derosa v. General Electric Co., et al.

FLORIDA MIDDLE

FLM 6 08-29 John R. Hackett, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al.

ILLINOIS CENTRAL

ILC 3 07-3296 Earnest Dwight Louderman v. General Electric Co., et al.

ILLINOIS SOUTHERN

ILS 3 08-1 Alan Taylor v. General Electric Co., et al.

INDIANA SOUTHERN

INS 1 08-109 Danielle Marie Snyder v. Bayer Corp., et al.

KANSAS

KS 2 07-2513 Russell Voeltz, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.

### MDL No. 1909 - Schedule CTO-1 Tag-Along Actions (Continued)

<u>DIST.</u> <u>DIV.</u> <u>C.A.</u> #	CASE CAPTION
LOUISIANA MIDDLE LAM 3 07-776 LAM 3 07-826	Michelle Rice v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. Billy Parker v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.
LOUISIANA WESTERN	Irven S. Shelton, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al. Opposed 3/20/08
MARYLAND MD 1 08-337 MD 1 08-338	Mosetta Burden-White v. General Electric Co., et al. John Davis, Jr., et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.
MINNESOTA MN 0 07-4706 MN 0 07-4893	Loralei L. Knase, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al. Harry D. Cleek, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.
MISSOURI EASTERN MOE 4 07-1832 MOE 4 07-1964 MOE 4 07-2050	Jessi Nienke v. General Electric Co., et al. Stewart Watson, V v. General Electric Co., et al. Beverly Lombardo, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.
MISSOURI WESTERN MOW 4 07-869	David Phillips, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.
NORTH CAROLINA MIDDLE NCM 1 07-927	Bobby Paul, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.
NEW JERSEY  NJ 1 08-559  NJ 1 08-562  NJ 3 07-5942  NJ 3 07-5985	Deena W. Wood, etc. v. Bayer Corp., et al. Judith Minor, etc. v. Bayer Corp., et al. Paul Brian Staples, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al. Claudia Ethington, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al. Opposed 3/24/08
NEW YORK WESTERN NYW 6 08-6009	Jennifer Newton, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.
OHIO SOUTHERN OHS 1 07-1001	Donald L. Hannah, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.
OKLAHOMA NORTHERN OKN 4 07-666	Royce Campbell, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN PAE 2 08-404	Denise Grant v. General Electric Co., et al.

## MDL No. 1909 - Schedule CTO-1 Tag-Along Actions (Continued)

DIST. DIV. C.A. #	CASE CAPTION
WISCONSIN EASTERN WIE 2 07-954 WIE 2 07-986 WIE 2 07-1101	Greg Reed, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al. Gregory Key v. General Electric Co., et al. Robert Sawall, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.
WEST VIRGINIA NORTHERN	
WVN 5 08-27	Francis Lydon v. Bayer Corp., et al.
WVN 5 08-28	Mary R. Reel v. Bayer Corp., et al.
WVN 5 08-29	George Lipscomb, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al.